Lee, Katherine

From:

Cordell Hindler <cordellhindler@ymail.com>

Sent:

Friday, September 3, 2021 12:43 PM

To:

Lee, Katherine

Subject:

Public Comments

WARNING: This is not a City of Berkeley email. Do not click links or attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

hello Katherine, I have some comments for the Record:

1. the Board is invited to Attend the performance of OUR TOWN at Contra Costa Civic Theater on October 1-31 at 7:30 pm

Tickets are 35.00 dollars Adults, for youth 15.00 dollars

2. also I was going to address the concerns that the meetings go on too long, I am suggesting that the board tabling the items for another time

Sincerely Cordell

Lee, Katherine

From:

Office of the Director of Police Accountability

Sent:

Wednesday, September 8, 2021 7:50 AM

To:

Lee, Katherine

Subject:

FW: Comments in Advance of Tonight's Meeting

From: Mary Ann Meany <maryannmeany@sonic.net>

Sent: Wednesday, September 08, 2021 6:00 AM

To: Office of the Director of Police Accountability <DPA@cityofberkeley.info>

Subject: Comments in Advance of Tonight's Meeting

WARNING: This is not a City of Berkeley email. Do not click links or attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings:

Since I cannot make the PAB meeting tomorrow evening; I am sending this email in lieu of verbal comment.

I believe that the Police Accountability Board (PAB) made the right decision on August 4th. It is not appropriate for police officers to hold veto power over the regulations for complaints about police misconduct.

The Charter amendment that established the PAB received such a high percentage of the vote -- 85% -- precisely because the old Police Review Commission (PRC) was not providing adequate oversight.

Moreover, the PAB should NOT continue the manifestly unfair practices of the PRC, even on a temporary basis.

This is an important step for the City because substantial improvements to the process will encourage members of the public to file actionable complaints about police misconduct with the PAB instead of suing the City of Berkeley - a much more expensive process for both the City and the complainant.

This can't wait! The Interim Regulations will establish the reputation of the PAB - increasing or injuring community trust in fair treatment by police officers and a fair, equitable process if they file a complaint.

Thank you,
Mary Ann Meany

Mary Ann Meany

Mediator and Attorney at Law, CWLS* P.O. Box 3094 Berkeley,CA 94703 (415) 608-4932 Telephoné

MaryAnn@meanylaw.net

*Child Welfare Law Specialist, certified by the National Association of Counsel for Children Accredited by the State Bar of California, Board of Legal Specialization.

This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

Lee, Katherine

From:

Office of the Director of Police Accountability

Sent:

Wednesday, September 8, 2021 7:50 AM

To:

Lee, Katherine

Subject:

FW: Public comment for PAB meeting 9.7.21

From: Holly Scheider <hollyscheider@gmail.com> Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2021 9:34 PM

To: Office of the Director of Police Accountability <DPA@cityofberkeley.info>

Cc: Kate Harrison <kate@kateharrisonconsulting.com>; Manager, C <CManager@cityofberkeley.info>

Subject: Public comment for PAB meeting 9.7.21

WARNING: This is not a City of Berkeley email. Do not click links or attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings:

I cannot make the PAB meeting tomorrow evening. I am sending this email in lieu of verbal comment.

I believe that the Police Accountability Board (PAB) made the right decision on August 4th. It is not appropriate for police officers to hold veto power over the regulations for complaints about police misconduct.

The Charter amendment that established the PAB received such a high percentage of the vote -- 85% -- precisely because the old Police Review Commission (PRC) was not providing adequate oversight.

Moreover, the PAB should NOT continue the manifestly unfair practices of the PRC, even on a temporary basis.

This is an important step for the City because substantial improvements to the process will encourage members of the public to file actionable complaints about police misconduct with the PAB instead of suing the City of Berkeley - a much more expensive process for both the City and the complainant [1].

This can't wait! The Interim Regulations will establish the reputation of the PAB - increasing or injuring community trust in fair treatment by police officers and a fair, equitable process if they file a complaint.

Together we can stay healthy and rebuild our democracy ~

Holly Scheider 2419 Spaulding Ave Berkeley CA 94703 510-435-0328